

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ROBERT REID, on behalf of himself and
12 all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 JOHNSON & JOHNSON and McNEIL
16 NUTRITIONALS, LLC,

17 Defendants.
18

Civil No. 11cv1310 L (BLM)

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE
MOTION TO FILE
SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS [doc. #49]**

19 Defendants seek to file supplemental authority in support of their motion to dismiss.
20 (Doc. 46.) Specifically, Defendants wish to supplement the record with an April 19, 2012
21 decision of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. *Young v. Johnson &*
22 *Johnson*, No. 11-2580 (NJD April 19, 2012). Plaintiff opposes the ex parte motion because the
23 *Young* case is not binding, is out-of-circuit, and designated "not for publication."

24 The Court finds that Defendants show good cause here for supplementing the record with
25 the *Young* case notwithstanding its limited value for this Court's consideration of the issues
26 raised here.

27 ///

28 ///

///

1 Accordingly, defendants' ex parte motion to file supplemental authority is **GRANTED**.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 DATED: September 17, 2012

4 
5 M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge

6 COPY TO:

7 HON. BARBARA L. MAJOR
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL